



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,165	10/14/2004	Shiro Sakiyama	71971-015	6689
20277	7590	01/31/2008	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			HILTUNEN, THOMAS J	
600 13TH STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			2816	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/31/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/511,165	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SAKIYAMA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas J. Hiltunen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2816

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2007.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 6-16 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 6-14 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-544B)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
     Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
     Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments filed 21 November 2007 has been received and entered in the case. Applicant's arguments are considered below.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shoji (USPN 4,670,670).

With respect to claim 6, Shoji discloses "a semiconductor integrated circuit (circuit of Fig. 1), comprising:

a main circuit (circuit with body biased by the signal at 40, not shown in Fig. 1) including a plurality of MOS transistors of a MOS structure in which a source potential and a substrate potential are separated from each other (the circuit is composed of MOS transistors), and operating while receiving a predetermined operating power supply voltage (VDD); and

a substrate potential control circuit (12, 13 and 20-29) for controlling the substrate potential (P-TUBs) of a MOS transistor in the main circuit (the signal at 41 biases the substrate, i.e., P-TUB, of the main circuit) so that an actual saturation current value of the MOS transistor is equal to a target saturation current value that is sufficient

to satisfy a desired operation speed of the main circuit given the operating power supply voltage value of the main circuit, the substrate potential control circuit (the circuit of Fig. 1 is connected exactly as recited and as shown in Fig. 5 of the instant application and therefore the circuit operates as recited), including:

- a constant current generation circuit (22);
- a current-voltage conversion circuit including a MOS transistor provided therein (50) and having current-voltage conversion characteristics that change according to the substrate potential of the MOS transistor provided therein, which for converting a constant current value of the constant current generation circuit to a voltage value (the output 50, i.e., the pad of 13, is combined with the output of 22 to convert the current output from 22 to a voltage that which changes according to the substrate potential of 50); and
- a differential amplifier circuit (20) for controlling a substrate potential of the current-voltage conversion circuit so that the voltage value generated by the current-voltage conversion circuit is equal to the predetermined operating power supply voltage value of the main circuit (20 receives the combined output of 22 and 50 as well as the operating power supply VDD via 23 and 24. Furthermore 20 controls the substrate voltage of 50, therefore 20 controls the substrate to be equal to VDD due to the feedback of the output of 50 and the supply voltage being input to 20), wherein the substrate potential control circuit controls the substrate potential of each of the MOS transistors in the main circuit so that the substrate potential is equal to the substrate potential of the current-voltage conversion circuit controlled by the differential amplifier

circuit (similar to that of 50, the substrate of the main circuit is controlled by the output of 20. Thus, the main circuit has a substrate potential that is equal to the substrate voltage of the main circuit is equal to the substrate voltage of 50).

With respect to claim 7, Shoji discloses, VDD is any desired operating voltage which may vary in any desired range, since 22 is connected to VDD its current value will change in proportion to a change in VDD.

With respect to claim 8, Shoji discloses a linear change in the current output by 22 with respect to the change in VDD, since the output of 22 will directly flow the increase in VDD.

With respect to claim 9, Shoji discloses, that VDD is any desired operating voltage. Thus, VDD many be any of a polarity of operating voltage (i.e., 3 Volts, 5 Volts, etc.). Furthermore, since 22 is connected to VDD its current value will change in proportion to a change in VDD, wherein the change of current output from 22 will be linear with respect to the supply voltage change.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaenel et al. (USPN 5,682,118).

With respect to claim 13, Kaenel et al. discloses in Fig. 7 a semiconductor integrated circuit, comprising:

a main circuit including a plurality of MOS transistors of a MOS structure (119), and operating while receiving an operating power supply voltage (119 operates when it receives the power supply of V<sub>log</sub>); and

a power supply voltage control circuit for controlling the operating power supply voltage supplied to the main circuit (control circuits 104, 101 and 102 operate to output Vlog), wherein:

a target saturation current value of the MOS transistors that is sufficient to satisfy a desired operation speed of the main circuit given a predetermined power supply voltage is set in the power supply voltage control circuit (Vlog is output to 119 by the control circuits of 104, when control circuits 101, and 102 indicate that the target saturation currents output by 111 and 118 are met. VBp and VBN are then adjusted, according to Vlog/2 and the current output by 111 and 118. VBp and VBN are output to 104 to control Vlog to be output to 119. Vlog, VBN, and VBp control the operating speed of the transistors of 119, see Col. 8 lines 63-67, Col. 9 lines1-3); and

the power supply voltage control circuit controls a voltage value of the operating power supply voltage supplied to the main circuit so that an actual saturation current value of the MOS transistors in the main circuit is equal to the target saturation current value (note that 104 supplies the desired voltage Vlog to 119, which is controlled by 104, 101 and 102. Thus the circuits of 119 receive a regulated voltage Vlog, and regulated back bias voltages Vbn and Vbp to maintain the target threshold, i.e., saturation current of the circuits of 119)."

With respect to claim 14, Kaenel et al. discloses, "the semiconductor integrated circuit of claim 13, wherein the target saturation current value of the MOS transistors of the main circuit is a target saturation current value of an NMOS transistor or that of a

PMOS transistor from among the MOS transistors of the main circuit, or is an average value between the target saturation current values of the NMOS and PMOS transistors.”

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al. (USPAPN 2004/0070440) in view of Forbes et al. (USPN 6,456,157).

With respect to claim 6, Tang et al. discloses in Figs. 1-6, “a semiconductor integrated circuit (circuit of Fig. 1, Fig. 3 or Fig. 4), comprising:

a main circuit including a plurality of MOS transistors of a MOS structure (108 is a main circuit that contains multiple MOS transistors) in which a source potential (Local Vcc) and a substrate potential (Vout') are separated from each other, and operating while receiving a predetermined operating power supply voltage; and

a substrate potential control circuit (100, and circuit that outputs Vbs) for controlling the substrate potential of a MOS transistor in the main circuit so that an actual saturation current value of the MOS transistor is equal to a target saturation current value that is sufficient to satisfy a desired operation speed of the main circuit given the operating power supply voltage value of the main circuit (the saturation

current of the MOS transistors of 108 will be equal to the desired saturation currents of  $V_{bs}$ ,

the substrate potential control circuit, including:

a differential amplifier (102) circuit for controlling a substrate potential ( $V_{out}'$ ) of the current-voltage conversion circuit so that the voltage value generated by the current-voltage conversion circuit is equal to the predetermined operating power supply voltage value of the main circuit ( $V_{out}'$  is fed back to the inverting terminal of 102, so as to control the output of  $V_{out}'$  in accordance with  $V_{bs}$ , i.e., output of substrate control circuit)

wherein the substrate potential control circuit controls the substrate potential of each of the MOS transistors in the main circuit so that the substrate potential is equal to the substrate potential of the current-voltage conversion circuit controlled by the differential amplifier circuit (102 controls  $V_{out}'$  so that  $V_{out}'$  is applied to the body of each MOS transistor, so that each MOS transistor of 108 has a body bias voltage of each MOS transistor is equal to  $V_{bs}$ )."

Tang et al. further discloses a generic substrate signal  $V_{bs}$  that represents "a difference between a body and a source voltage (see lines 1-3 of paragraph [0010])"

What Tang et al. fails to teach is "the substrate potential control circuit, including:  
a Constant Current generation Circuit;  
a current-voltage conversion circuit including a MOS transistor provided therein  
and having current-voltage conversion characteristics that change according to the

substrate potential of the MOS transistor provided therein for converting a constant current value of the constant current generation circuit to a voltage value;"

However, Forbes et al discloses in Fig. 3 and Fig. 8, a substrate potential control circuit, including (Fig. 3, or Fig. 8):

a Constant Current generation Circuit (110);

a current-voltage conversion circuit (101) including a MOS transistor (101)

provided therein and having current-voltage conversion characteristics that change according to the substrate potential of the MOS transistor provided therein (the current to voltage conversion circuit of 100 characteristics of 101 change according to the substrate potential applied at 108) for converting a constant current value of the constant current generation circuit to a voltage value (101 converts current from 110 to the back bias voltage of VBG)". Fig. 8 of Forbes et al. discloses an n-well biasing circuit similar to that of the p-well biasing circuit of Fig. 3. Note further that Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 output a bias voltage that is a difference between a body (body of 101 of Fig. 3, or body of 202 of Fig. 8) and a source (Vdd with constant current source 110 of Fig. 3, and ground with the constant current source of Fig. 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the generic body bias voltage generating circuits that output Vbs in Figs. 1, 3 and 4 of Tang et al. with the specific body bias generation circuits of Fig. 3 and Fig. 8 of Forbes et al. for the purpose of having a simply constructed body bias generating circuit that outputs a body bias voltage that is the "difference between a

Art Unit: 2816

source and a body", and have increased flexibility in setting threshold voltages (see lines 8-9 of the Abstract of Forbes et al.).

With respect to claim 7, the above combination discloses, "the semiconductor integrated circuit of claim 6, wherein where the predetermined operating power supply voltage of the main circuit varies within a predetermined operating voltage range, the constant current value of the constant current generation circuit is proportional to the operating power supply voltage value within the operating voltage range (the target saturation current changes in proportion to supply voltage changes, This is because  $V_{out}'$  is controlled by both  $V_{cc}$  and  $V_{bs}$  input to 102. Additionally, see Fig. 5 and lines 5-11 of paragraph [0017] clearly as  $V_{cc}$  is increased  $V_{out}'$ , i.e., LBG output, is increased in proportion)."

With respect to claim 8, the above combination discloses, "the semiconductor integrated circuit of claim 6, wherein the predetermined operating power supply voltage of the main circuit varies within a predetermined operating voltage range, the constant current value of the constant current generation circuit is in a linear function relationship with the operating power supply voltage value within the operating voltage range (it can be seen in Fig. 5 that as  $V_{cc}$  is increased  $V_{out}'$ , i.e., LBG output, is also increased linearly (i.e., as  $V_{cc}$  is increased by .1 Volts  $V_{out}'$ , i.e., LBG output, also increases by .1 Volts)."

With respect to claim 9, the above combination discloses, "the semiconductor integrated circuit of claim 6, wherein:

the main circuit has a plurality of operating power supply voltage ranges (it can be seen that multiple Vcc supply voltages are used in Fig. 5);

the target saturation current value of the MOS transistors of the main circuit is in a linear function relationship with the operating power supply voltage value within an operating voltage range for each operating power supply voltage range of the main circuit (it can be seen in Fig. 5 that the relationship between Vout', i.e., LBG Output, and Vcc is linear, as Vcc increases Vout' also increases in proportion); and

the linear function relationship between the target saturation current value and the operating power supply voltage value is different for each operating power supply voltage range (it can be seen in Fig. 5 that the relationship between each voltage supply, Vout' and Vbs is different, i.e., in each relationship Vout' is larger at each Vbs voltage for each supply voltage Vcc)."

With respect to claim 11, the above combination discloses the semiconductor integrated circuit of claim 6, wherein the constant current generation circuit generates a constant current with a variation rate smaller than that for the actual saturation current value of the MOS transistors of the main circuit (it can be seen that the output of the Vbs signal is actually smaller than that of the required saturation of the MOS circuits of 108). Thus, Tang et al. requires differential amplifier 102, and output buffer 104, to increase the gain of the Vbs signal to be provided as the Vout' signal, and the variation in current of the Vbs generation circuit is less than the actual required saturation current of 108 controlled by Vout', see lines 1-7 of paragraph [0017].

Claims 10 and 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al. (USPAPN 2004/0070440) and Forbes et al. (USPN 6,456,157) as applied to claims 6-9 above, and further in view of Bowden (USPN 4,427,935).

The combination of Tang et al. and Forbes et al. fails to disclose the constant current generation circuit generates a plurality of constant current values, and selectively outputs one of the plurality of constant current values.

However, Bowden discloses in Fig. 1, a constant current source that corrects variations in a supply source, thus providing a stable constant current output, and is selectable to output a desired current source”

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the generic current source 110 of Fig. 3 of Forbes et al. (and the generic current source of Fig. 8) with the specific constant current source of Fig. 1 of Bowden for the purpose of having a constant current source that compensates for variations in the supply voltage. Thus, the above combination discloses, all of the recited limitations of claim 6, since selector 14 outputs a plurality of voltages to be generated as one of multiple constant currents outputs.

With respect to claim 12, the above combination discloses, all of the recited limitations of claim 12, since Bowden’s constant current is adjustable (according to the variable resistor connected to 21 and selector 14) to select a desired current that is constant and stable through its compensation circuits.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 21 November 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Shoji (USPN 4,670,670) does not disclose, in Fig. 1, a "constant current source" connected in the same way as the constant current source (1n-1) of Fig. 5 of the instant application. However, it can be seen that Applicant's constant current source (1n-1) is coupled to the gate and drain of an NMOS transistor (3n-1) and to an input of an operational amplifier (1n-2) at the same node as the gate drain connection of the transistor (3n-1). Clearly, 22 of Fig. 1 of Shoji is connected in the same way as 1n-1 of Fig. 5 of the instant application. For instance, Shoji discloses a constant current source (22) that is coupled to the gate and drain of an NMOS transistor (50) and to an input of an operational amplifier (20) at the same node as the gate to drain connection (13) of the transistor (50). With respect to the limitation of "constant current source" one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that a resistor connected to a supply voltage at one terminal (i.e., VDD of Fig. 1 of Shoji) supplies a constant current to the other terminal of the resistor. Thus, resistor 22 connected to Vdd of Shoji is a constant current source. This is further evidenced by Graham et al. (USPN 4,918,336) which discloses in Fig. 14 that a resistor (i.e. circuit element to the right of the equal sign) is the equivalent to a constant current source (i.e., circuit element to the left of the equal sign). Mabuchi et al (USPAPN 2002/0100921) additionally provides support for a resistor connected similar to 22 of Shoji being a constant current source, see R of Fig. 7 and lines 4-6 of paragraph [0060], which states

"Q1 is connected to a power source Vdd through a resistor R serving as a constant current source". Thus it is apparent that the resistor 22 of Shoji is a constant current source.

Applicant argues that Fig. 1 of Shoji does not disclose "an operational amplifier connected to the source and drain of the transistor". However, it can be seen that Fig. 5 of the instant application does not disclose an operational amplifier connected to the source and drain of the transistor. Clearly, the input terminal of the operational amplifier of Fig. 5 of the instant application is connected to the gate and drain of transistor 3n-1 at node Vdn and the output terminal is connected to substrate of 3n-1 by through the Vbn output voltage. In no way is the operational amplifier connected to both the source and the drain of the transistor of Fig. 5 of the instant application. Furthermore, it can be seen that the gate and drain of transistor 50 of Fig. 1 of Shoji is connected to the input terminal of operational amplifier 20 and the output terminal of 20 is connected to the substrate of 50. Therefore the operational amplifier of Shoji is connected in the same way as claimed and as shown in Fig. 5 of the instant application.

Applicant argues that Shoji's back bias circuit is "merely directed towards controlling the threshold voltage of a second FET" and therefore does not disclose "controlling the substrate potential of a MOS transistor in the main circuit so that an actual saturation current value of the MOS transistor is equal to a target saturation current value". However, as can be seen the output of 20 of Fig. 1 of Shoji is input to the substrate of 50 and to the substrate of other circuits on the VLSI chip via output line 40. Therefore Shoji not only controls the threshold of the second FET but also the

Art Unit: 2816

threshold of multiple transistors on the VLSI chip (i.e., main circuit). Furthermore as is known by one of ordinary skill in the art if the threshold voltages of FET transistors are adjusted then the saturation current is likewise adjusted. This is further evidenced by Miyazaki et al. (USPN 6,466,077) in Fig. 32 and Col. 24 lines 20-67 and Col. 25 lines 1-10. For instance Miyazaki et al. discloses, "[f]or conducting the control on the fluctuation in the threshold voltage, the PMOS substrate bias is changed from the bias 3.3V to the forward bias 1.3V ...", see Col. 24 lines 29-34, and "by bringing the PMOS substrate bias at 3.3V while the NMOS substrate bias at -1.5V, it is possible to reduce the sub-threshold leak current during the standby operation", see Col. 24- lines 35-40. Thus, Miyazaki et al. discloses that if a substrate bias signal controls the threshold of a MOSFET transistor then it will also control the MOSFET's output current. Thus, Shoji's operational amplifier controls the substrate voltage the main circuit (i.e., VLSI chips) MOSFET transistors to be equal to a target saturation current value equal to the output of transistor 50 (i.e., when a MOSFET substrate voltage is biased in a forward direction the saturation current will increase). Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that saturation current of a MOSFET transistor is dependent upon the threshold voltage of the transistor. For instance, see equation 6.7 of page 6-7 in the provided NPL document MacEachern L., & Manku T. (2003), "RF and Microwave Semiconductor Device Handbook", CRC Press LLC, which discloses that in the saturation region the saturation current of a NMOSFET transistor (i.e.,  $I_D$ ) is, in part, equal to the square of the source-to-gate voltage (i.e.,  $V_{SG}$ ) minus the threshold voltage

(i.e.,  $V_T$ ). Thus, by controlling the threshold to a target value Shoji controls the saturation current to a target value.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

With respect to claim 15, clearly it can be seen that Kaenel et al. does not disclose "the target saturation current value of the MOS transistors of the main circuit is in a linear function relationship with the operating power supply voltage supplied to the main circuit". In fact, Fig. 10 discloses a logarithmic relationship between the current and supply voltage. Thus Kaenel et al. teaches away from a linear relationship between the saturation current and the supply voltage. Furthermore, there is no disclosure or motivation provided to have a linear relationship between the supply voltage and saturation current. It can be seen that Tang et al. discloses in Fig. 5 a linearly relationship between supply voltage bias voltage, and  $V_{out}'$ . Thus, the saturation current and supply voltage would have an inherent linear relationship. However, Tang fails to disclose the specific "power supply voltage control circuit" of as recited in claim 13. Thus, Tang fails to disclose all the recited limitations of claim 15. Therefore, claim 15 is allowable.

With respect to claim 16, it can be seen that claim 16 recites the same linear relationship between the target saturation current value and the operating power supply

voltage value of claim 15. Thus, claim 16 is allowable for at least the same reasons as claim 15.

***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Hiltunen whose telephone number is (571)272-5525. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Richards can be reached on (571)272-1736. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TH  
**January 25, 2008**

/N. Drew Richards/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2816